

Presentation

Marks	Level descriptor
0	The work does not reach a standard described by the descriptors below.
1–5	There is little or no evaluative interpretation of the extract, displaying a very limited understanding of how meaning is constructed through the use of film language, and a very limited awareness of the extract's relationship to the film as a whole. There is little or no explanation for the selection of the extract. The critique shows little or no awareness of the film's genre and/or its place in a broader sociocultural context. There is little or no analysis of the director's intention. This presentation is likely to be exclusively descriptive.
6–10	There is a limited evaluative interpretation of the extract, displaying some understanding of how meaning is constructed through the use of film language and a limited awareness of the extract's relationship to the film as a whole. There is a limited explanation for the selection of the extract. The critique shows some awareness of the film's genre and/or its place in a broader sociocultural context. There is a limited analysis of the director's intention. A substantial amount of the presentation may have detailed descriptions, but offers only limited analysis.
11–15	There is a coherent evaluative interpretation of the extract, displaying an adequate understanding of how meaning is constructed through the use of film language, and a satisfactory awareness of the extract's relationship to the film as a whole. There is an adequate explanation for the selection of the extract. The critique shows a satisfactory awareness of the film's genre and/or its place in a broader sociocultural context. There is some analysis of the director's intention. There may be some descriptive elements but the presentation offers adequate analysis.
16–20	There is a coherent and detailed evaluative interpretation of the extract, displaying a good understanding of how meaning is constructed through the use of film language, and a good awareness of the extract's relationship to the film as a whole. There is a clear explanation for the selection of the extract. The critique shows a good awareness of the film's genre and/or its place in a broader sociocultural context. There is a sound analysis of the director's intention. There may be brief elements of description but analysis is thorough.
21–25	There is a coherent, incisive, insightful and detailed evaluative interpretation of the extract, displaying an excellent understanding of how meaning is constructed through the use of film language, with an excellent awareness of the extract's relationship to the film as a whole. There is a persuasive explanation for the selection of the extract. The critique shows an excellent awareness of the film's genre and/or its place in a broader sociocultural context. There is an insightful analysis of the director's intention. Simple description is negligible and analysis is clear and thorough.

Pre-prepared work: Any student who reads out their presentation from a prepared document or fails to adhere to the specified time allocation **cannot** be awarded a mark within the top two markbands at SL. Notes may be used as aides-memoire, but the student must be sufficiently secure with their knowledge and understanding for the presentation to be more than reading out an essay.